

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,593	08/27/2003	Robert Donald Villwock	85639.7	4983
44955	7590 08/29/2006	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 1 MARITIME PLAZA, SUITE 300			PAHNG, JASON Y	
	SISCO, CA 94111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	١,
\checkmark	7
0	1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/649,593	VILLWOCK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason Y. Pahng	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status ·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ne 2006.				
,	action is non-final.				
·—	<u>'</u>				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,24-36 and 43-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17,24-36 and 43-54</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirer	ment.			
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
•		7.66.1.6.1.1.1.1.6.1.62.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical statement of the prioric	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
					

Application/Control Number: 10/649,593

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 5, 2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Species I. Drawn to an embodiment of an electrically conductive solid additives including claims 8, 9, and 49; and
- Drawn to an embodiment of an electrically insulating solid additives Species II. including claims 10, 11, 24-36, 48, and 51-54.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-7, 12-17, 43-47, and 50 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

A + 11=::: 2705

Art Unit: 3725

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

<u>Upon election of Species I</u>, a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-9, 12-17, drawn to a combination method of reducing plating in a pneumatic conveying system and preventing screen binding, classified in class 241 and including subclass 68.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,593

Art Unit: 3725

Group II. Claims 43-47, 49, and 50, drawn to a subcombination method of preventing screen blinding, classified in class 209 and including subclass 509.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention of Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed as evidenced by claim 1. For example, claim 1 of Group I does not require producing a maximum particle size that is less than or equal to a selected size of a screen opening of a selected sifter as required by claim 43. The subcombination has separate utility such as it can obviously be used in any method of reducing plating in a pneumatic conveying system and preventing screen binding and is not limited to the particular method of reducing plating in a pneumatic conveying system and preventing screen binding of Group I.

<u>Upon election of Species II</u>, a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-7, 10-17, 24-36, and 51-54, drawn to a combination method of reducing plating in a pneumatic conveying system and

Application/Control Number: 10/649,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

man par neith Mac t

preventing screen binding, classified in class 241 and including subclass 68.

Group II. Claims 24-36, 43-48, 50-54, drawn to a subcombination method of preventing screen blinding, classified in class 209 and including subclass 509.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention of Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed as evidenced by claim 1. For example, claim 1 of Group I does not require producing a maximum particle size that is less than or equal to a selected size of a screen opening of a selected sifter as required by claim 43. The subcombination has separate utility such as it can obviously be used in any crushing machine and is not limited to the particular crushing machine of Group I.

A telephone call was made to the attorney of record, Cameron Kerrigan, on August 4, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/649,593 Page 6

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Y. Pahng whose telephone number is 571 272 4522. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571 272 4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JYP

Henry Bennett
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700